

Overall Responses to Feedback Activity for Workshop #2

General Ranking	Raw Numbers	Raw numbers/Total
Wow! This station's information is comprehensive and it is exciting to see this data finally compiled. My comments reflect what I liked most about this station.	70	82%
Interesting! This station's information is excellent, but there are a couple shortcomings that could be investigated further. My comments express the data gaps that I observed.	13	15%
Unimpressed! The information displayed at this station is not complete and there remain noticeable opportunities for growth. In my comments I have described the areas that could be improved upon.	2	2%

General Comments

- 1 Thanks
- 2 What is the definition of sustainability? We should know how to maintain it.
- 3 Very informative. Excellent presentation. Very much on the right track!
- 4 Great Job
- 5 Too hard to understand

Comments on Post-Its from Maps

- 1 Roads with Bike paths could use more research
- 2 Plan could take advantage of CA Coastal Trail if it travelled through the wetlands more.
- 3 Power plant effluent is the best place to observe green sea turtles
- 4 I once saw a dead king snake coiled in the driveway opposite shopkeeper ln.
- 5 Sea Turtle habitat? How was it determined, were they seen everywhere and how many are there?
- 6 How will the LCW be included in SEADIP?

Watershed and Hydrology Station

10	4	24%
9	5	29%
8	2	12%
7	6	35%
6	0	0%
5	0	0%
4	0	0%
3	0	0%
2	0	0%
1	0	0%

Comments:

- 1 A few streets would help for reference
- 2 Love the "innumerable point and non-point sources of pollution" in this most urbanized watershed.
- 3 Lots of opportunities for marsh development
- 4 Fantastic! Awesome!
- 5 Well done but difficult to comprehend
- 6 Not visited
- 7 David was engaging and thoroughly knowledgeable!
- 8 Keep up the good work and thanks!
- 9 Well explained by engineer
- 10 Water flood in LB area
- 11 Would like to see more info on local power plant modifications and relation to future impact or advantages

Habitat Assessment

10	7	41%
9	6	35%
8	0	0%
7	1	6%
6	2	12%
5	0	0%
4	0	0%
3	1	6%
2	0	0%
1	0	0%

Comments:

- 1 Better street signs
- 2 Eric shone on this! All hail the Tooth!
- 3 This is way past the general public. The names mean nothing
- 4 So many interesting plants and animals. Cool information.
- 5 On the right track
- 6 Complicated but well done
- 7 How do the conservation initiatives at the LCW fit in with the larger, regional SoCal wetland system's species conservation efforts?
- 8 habitat delineations! Presentations failed to include wildlife component of current findings/ status - see Taylor
- 9 Too dry! Could have been more interactive
- 10 The maps are especially revealing.
- 11 Amzing # of animals/plants and variety of habitats
- 12 Never knew we had burrowing owls! Great!

Opportunity and Constraints

10	3	16%
9	5	26%
8	2	11%
7	2	11%
6	2	11%
5	5	26%
4	0	0%
3	0	0%
2	0	0%
1	0	0%

Comments:

- 1 Nice visuals
- 2 Very diplomatic - seeking consensus. Positive spin on climate change.
- 3 Interesting but more detail needed.
- 4 Need to show Studebaker Road if put through to start generating opposition. One of the best graphic displays of SLR I have seen. Show overlay of what would happen to surrounding communities.
- 5 Didn't clearly understand what the opportunities and constraints were. I would consider more constraints.
- 6 More reps from MN could have been present to explain findings and answer questions
- 7 Important to see how many entities and difficulties that are involved in this.
- 8 I think this material will show up better when alternatives are presented.
- 9 not seen.
- 10 Provide breakdown of what the terms means in relation to the project (direct or indirect impacts?)

Public Access Station

10	4	24%
9	4	24%
8	1	6%
7	6	35%
6	1	6%
5	0	0%
4	0	0%
3	1	6%
2	0	0%
1	0	0%

Comments:

- 1 Some more streets might help with ...
- 2 Great plug for In-n-Out! Why not In-n-Out Wetlands?
- 3 A false impression could be given. Most of these sites do not give access and the wetlands are fenced off. Proposed trails would be helpful.
- 4 Wasn't aware of all the access points. We love riding the bike trail through the wetlands - elevated view.
- 5 More visuals would be a great asset
- 6 Access from the bike trail is scary. Bikers think they own the way. Speed is high. From Hellman is more doable.
- 7 Trail plans? Design coastal Trail in closer proximity? Possible funding incentive?
- 8 Not visited tonight
- 9 Simple but informative
- 10 Routes need a little more contrast.
- 11 A little vague
- 12 Needed to know where to enter wetlands.
- 13 Love it!

Public Involvement Website Station

10	4	29%
9	4	29%
8	2	14%
7	2	14%
6	2	14%
5	1	7%
4	0	0%
3	0	0%
2	0	0%
1	0	0%

Comments:

- 1 Too many words, too few pix
- 2 Good start on the website
- 3 Public input increases the public's desire to save all of the wetlands. I would love to see an education center at LCW!
- 4 Haven't accessed it yet. Forgot to!
- 5 Love it! More photos please!
- 6 Looking forward to "station" presentations & graphs/charts available at this site.
- 7 Could have been more...
- 8 Keep up the good work and thanks! Thanks for the website!
- 9 I'm very impressed with the way this group is educating you neighbors and drawing in a younger generation of stewards who will carry environmental responsibilities as a part of their lives.
- 10 Pictures
- 11 Hard to read screens.
- 12 Extremely important-Allows those involved to share with others not familiar, build awareness and support.